UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		The state of the s			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,348	07/27/2005	Artur Wilhelm Suntken	A36467-PCT-USA	6322	
21003 BAKER & BO	7590 01/05/2007 ΓΤS L.L.P.		EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEL	LER PLAZA		NGUYEN, TRUNG Q		
44TH FLOOR NEW YORK, N	VY 10112-4498	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2829		
				·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D.	AYS	01/05/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

ġ .	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/522,348	SUNTKEN, ARTU	SUNTKEN, ARTUR WILHELM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Trung Q. Nguyen	2829				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS 16(a). In no event, however, may a register of the second will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	nuary 2005	·				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.	•				
,		ers prosecution as to the	e merits is			
·—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 21-42 is/are pending in the application	ı.					
4a) Of the above claim(s)is/are withdray	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 21-42 are subject to restriction and/or	oloction requirement					
o) Claim(s) 21-42 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers			·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	= : :		ED 1 101/4\			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action of form P	10-152,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National	Stage			
Attachment/s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - A) Species of Figure 1.
 - B) Species of Figure 2.
- 2. The Species are distinct each from the other because: Species of Figure 1 disclose a means to operable to subtract an error voltage signal from a voltage signal containing a voltage error to provide a corrected voltage signal for use or measurement by an unspecified device; Figure 2, the voltage error signal is inverted by means of an amplifier having an amplification of minus one and the inverted error signal added to the voltage signal containing the voltage error, to provide the corrected voltage signal. They are two separate inventions therefore; they are required difference search strategies.
- 3. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/522,348

Art Unit: 2829

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Paul A. Ragusa on December 27, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Trung Nguyen** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1966**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30AM –

Application/Control Number: 10/522,348

Art Unit: 2829

5:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Ha Nguyen** can be reached at *(571) 272-1678*.

Trung Nguyen

Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2829 December 27th, 2006.

HA TRAN NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4